

Friedel–Crafts Alkylation of Arenes with 2-Halogeno-2-CF₃-styrenes under Superacidic Conditions. Access to Trifluoromethylated Ethanes and Ethenes

Maria A. Sandzhieva,[†] Anna N. Kazakova,[‡] Irina A. Boyarskaya,[‡] Alexandr Yu. Ivanov,[§] Valentine G. Nenajdenko,^{*,||} and Aleksander V. Vasilyev^{*,‡}

[†]Department of Chemistry, Saint Petersburg State Forest Technical University, Institut'skiy per., 5, Saint Petersburg, 194021, Russia

[‡]Department of Organic Chemistry, Institute of Chemistry, Saint Petersburg State University, Universitetskaya nab., 7/9, Saint Petersburg, 199034, Russia

[§]Center for Magnetic Resonance, Research Park, Saint Petersburg State University, Universitetskiy pr. 26, Saint Petersburg, Petrodvoretz, 198504, Russia

^{||}Department of Chemistry, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Vorobiev'y Gory, 1, Moscow, 119899, Russia

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The formation of the corresponding benzyl cations [ArCH⁺–CH(X)CF₃] takes place under protonation of *E*-/*Z*-2-halogeno-2-CF₃ styrenes [ArCH=C(X)CF₃, X = F, Cl, Br] in superacids. The structures of these new electrophiles were studied by means of NMR and theoretical DFT calculations. According to these data, in the case of bromo derivatives, the formed cations, most probably, exist as cyclic bromonium ions; however, in the cases of chloro and fluoro derivatives, open forms are more preferable. Subsequent reaction of these benzyl cations with arenes proceeds as Friedel–Crafts alkylation to afford 1,1-diaryl-2-halo-3,3,3-trifluoropropanes [Ar(Ar')CH–CH(X)CF₃] in high yields (up to 96%) as a mixture of two diastereomers. The prepared halogenopropanes were easily converted into the corresponding mixtures of *E*-/*Z*-trifluoromethylated diarylethenes [Ar(Ar')C=CCF₃] (in yields up to 96%) by dehydrohalogenation with base (KOH or *t*-BuOK). The mechanism of elimination (E₂ and Ecb) depends on the nature of the leaving group and reaction conditions.



INTRODUCTION

Organofluorine compounds are widely used in chemistry, biology, medicine, nanotechnology, and material science. Trifluoromethyl-substituted alkenes are intensively explored as drugs, agrochemicals, liquid crystals, etc. (Figure 1).¹

Synthesis of CF₃-alkenes is an important target in organic chemistry.² These alkenes take part in many various transformations with nucleophiles.³ They react with aryl halides,⁴ organosilanes,⁵ organoboron,⁶ and organolithium compounds.⁷ CF₃-alkenes participate in reactions with enamines,⁸ enolates,⁹ and terminal alkynes.¹⁰ They undergo oxidative cyclization with aldehydes¹¹ and may be involved in many other reactions.¹² CF₃-alkenes are valuable monomers, and they are used in the chemistry of polymers.¹³ However, to date, there are only two examples of participation of CF₃-substituted alkenes in the Friedel–Crafts process under the superacidic activation.¹⁴ Analogous reactions with CF₃-alkenes having additional halogens at the C=C bond are unknown up to the moment. The presence of a halogen atom (F, Cl, Br) in the structure of cationic intermediates may stabilize these species via the formation of cyclic halonium cations. These halonium ions are postulated as intermediates of electrophilic reactions of alkenes.¹⁵ The stability of halonium ions is increased from

light to heavy atoms. Iodonium and bromonium salts were isolated, but generation of fluoronium ions was shown only recently.¹⁶

On the basis of our preliminary communication¹⁷ and recent publications on reactions of CF₃-alkynes,¹⁸ CF₃CO-alkenes,¹⁹ and CF₃-allyl alcohols²⁰ in acids, we undertook a special study on reactions of CF₃-styrenes bearing at the double bond an additional halogen atom under superelectrophilic activation.

The main goals of this work are (a) investigation of protonation of 1-aryl-2-halogeno-3,3,3-trifluoropropenes (2-halogeno-2-CF₃-styrenes) in superacids CF₃SO₃H (triflic acid) and FSO₃H (fluorosulfonic acid), (b) theoretical (DFT) and experimental spectral (NMR) study of the formed carbocations, and (c) Friedel–Crafts alkylation of arenes and study of synthetic potential of the method. Also, one of the key points of this study is to check the stability of the C–X (X = F, Cl, Br) bond under superacidic conditions. Usually this kind of carbon–halogen bond is easily cleaved in superacids.²¹

Protonation of styrenes **1** should proceed exclusively at the C² carbon, giving rise to benzyl cations **A**, due to the acceptor

Received: March 17, 2016

Published: May 26, 2016





Figure 1. Some examples of practically valuable CF_3 -alkenes.

properties of the CF_3 group. Cations **A** may exist in the form of halonium ions **B** (Scheme 1). These species **A** and **B** may

Scheme 1. Protonation of **1** Leading to Cations **A**, **B**, Followed by Reaction with Arenes




participate in Friedel–Crafts alkylation of arenes, affording CF_3 -diarylethanes **2**. The reaction of “closed” cations **B** with arenes may proceed stereoselectively (in $\text{S}_{\text{N}}2$ manner), opposite to the reactivity of “linear” cations **A**, for which a higher loss of stereoselectivity may be observed due to attack of the arene nucleophile from both sides of these species. In the latter case,

the reaction should be less stereoselective and the formation of diastereomeric ($\text{D1}/\text{D2}$) mixtures of **2** should be observed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Initial 1-aryl-2-halogeno-3,3,3-trifluoropropenes **1a–l** were obtained by reaction of aryl aldehydes with 1,1,1-trifluoro-2,2,2-trihaloethanes.^{2a–c} To estimate the electronic properties of reaction intermediates, we performed DFT calculations (B3LYP) of cations **A**, **B**, derived from styrenes **1** (Table 1). Charge distribution, contribution of atomic orbital into molecular orbital, and global electrophilicity indices ω ²² were calculated. In “open form” cations **A1–A12**, atom C^1 bears a positive charge (0.02–0.12 e) and has a large LUMO contribution (25.9–48.1%) (Table 1). These data indicate a coincidence of charge and orbital control in reactivity of this carbon, as an electrophilic center. Calculations of “closed” halonium ions **B1**, **B6**, **B8**, **B9** (Table 1) showed that chloro- (**B1**) and fluoro- (**B9**) substituted cations were extremely unstable; they corresponded to transition states (one imaginary frequency), rather than local minimums. Bromo-substituted species **B6**, **B8** are relatively stable. Comparison of the charge

Table 1. Selected Characteristics of Cations **A1–A12**, **B1**, **B6**, **B8**, **B9** (DFT Calculations)



cation	X	R in Ar	E_{HOMO} , eV	E_{LUMO} , eV	ω , ^a eV	$q(\text{C}^1)$ ^b	$q(\text{C}^2)$ ^b	$q(\text{X})$ ^b	$k(\text{C}^1)_{\text{LUMO}}$, ^c %	$k(\text{X})_{\text{LUMO}}$, ^c %
A1	Cl	H	-8.67	-5.12	6.7	0.12	-0.32	0.06	48.1	4.4
A2	Cl	3-Me	-8.34	-5.06	6.8	0.12	-0.32	0.05	29.7	4.2
A3	Cl	4-Me	-8.63	-4.91	6.1	0.09	-0.32	0.04	27.0	5.4
A4	Cl	4-Cl	-8.80	-5.11	6.6	0.10	-0.32	0.05	29.1	4.5
A5	Cl	4-MeO	-8.37	-4.54	5.4	0.03	-0.31	0.02	28.7	5.7
A6	Br	H	-8.60	-5.06	6.6	0.11	-0.38	0.11	34.7	16.7
A7	Br	4-Cl	-8.58	-5.07	6.6	0.09	-0.38	0.15	30.9	13.5
A8	Br	4-MeO	-8.21	-4.54	5.5	0.03	-0.37	0.11	30.4	14.6
A9	F	H	-8.73	-5.18	6.8	0.12	0.11	-0.36	25.9	0.7
A10	F	4-Me	8.68	-4.94	6.2	0.08	0.11	-0.36	22.7	0.6
A11	F	4-Cl	-8.92	-5.16	6.6	0.10	0.11	-0.36	23.1	0.7
A12	F	4-MeO	-8.48	-4.54	5.4	0.02	0.12	-0.36	28.1	1.2
B1	Cl	H	-8.05	-4.00	4.5	0.02	-0.24	0.32	48.5	3.5
B6	Br	H	-7.94	-3.72	4.0	-0.10	-0.30	0.55	50.0	12.9
B8	Br	4-MeO	-7.32	-3.56	3.9	-0.11	-0.30	0.53	42.1	18.4
B9	F	H	-7.96	-3.92	4.4	0.19	0.19	-0.20	17.2	15.0

^aGlobal electrophilicity index $\omega = (E_{\text{HOMO}} + E_{\text{LUMO}})^2/8(E_{\text{LUMO}} - E_{\text{HOMO}})$. ^bNatural charges. ^cContribution of atomic orbitals into the molecular orbital.




Figure 2. Calculated geometries of the selected species **A1**, **A6**, **A9**, **B8** (dihedral angle θ between planes including atoms $C_{ipso-Ar}-C^1-C^2-X$ (F, Cl, Br) is depicted for **A1**, **A6**, **A9**).

on C^1 for pairs of cations **A1-B1**, **A6-B6**, **A8-B8**, **A9-B9** reveals that species **A** have a greater positive charge on this carbon than the corresponding cations **B**, except for the fluoro-substituted pair **A9-B9**. Also, in ions **B1**, **B6**, **B8**, **B9**, atom C^1 gives a large contribution to the LUMO (up to 50%). Halogen atoms X (F, Cl, Br) have a greater positive charge and LUMO coefficients in cations **B** than in species **A**. However, for all bromo derivatives, participation of halogen in cation stabilization is much more considerable than for chloro and fluoro species according to LUMO distribution. Comparison of electrophilicity indices reveals that cations **A** have higher values of ω (5.4–6.7 eV) than the corresponding halonium ions **B** (3.9–4.5 eV). Thus, species **A** should be more reactive than **B**.

Calculated geometries of cations **A1–A12** (see the **SI**) show that dihedral angles θ between planes including atoms $C_{ipso-Ar}-C^1-C^2-X$ (F, Cl, Br) are ~ 108 – 110° for chloro-substituted ($X = Cl$) species **A1–A5** and ~ 104 – 105° for bromo-substituted ($X = Br$) cations **A6–A8** (see selected examples in **Figure 2**). These angles indicate that the halogen atom is located above the plane including the aryl ring and carbocationic center C^1 , revealing that the C^2-X bond is almost perpendicular to this plane. This orientation of halogen X should be the most favorable for partial positive charge delocalization from C^1 to atom X. Contrary to that, in fluoro-substituted ($X = F$) cations **A9–A12**, the angle θ is ~ 147 – 153° (see the **SI** and **A9** in **Figure 3**). Therefore, for these species, fluorine X lies almost in the same plane containing the aryl ring and C^1 atom. That reveals the minimal possibilities for charge delocalization from C^1 to the fluorine atom in **A9–A12**. Thus, calculated geometries of **A1–A12** show that halogen atom X in chloro- and bromo-substituted ions **A1–A8** may participate in positive

charge delocalization, leading to the formation of halonium cations **B**, that does not take place for fluorinated species **A9–A12**.

Then, we undertook NMR study of protonation of styrenes **1a–l** in the superacids CF_3SO_3H and FSO_3H . According to 1H NMR, no protonation occurs below $-20^\circ C$ for **1a–l** in these superacids. However, at higher temperatures between -20 and $20^\circ C$, protonation of the double bond takes place and formation of oligomers is observed (see below). Among all studied alkenes **1a–l**, we succeeded in catching an intermediate cation only in the case of methoxyphenyl-substituted alkene **1h**, which gave protonated species in FSO_3H at $0^\circ C$ (see **Figure 3**, and other spectral data in the **Experimental Section** and **SI**). The assignment of signals in proton and carbon spectra of this species was done based on the 1H – ^{13}C HSQC spectrum (see the **SI**).

A new signal appeared in the 1H NMR spectrum of styrene **1h** under protonation (doublet of quartets at δ 5.81 ppm) (**Figure 3**). This signal corresponds to a new proton attached to the carbon C^2 . The corresponding spin–spin interactions are detected in the signal of the proton at C^1 carbon in 1H NMR (**Figure 3**) and in the signal of the CF_3 group in ^{19}F NMR (see the **Experimental Section** and **SI**). This protonated species, most probably, may be described as cation **A8** (see scheme in **Figure 3**). According to 1H and ^{13}C NMR data, the $C_{ipso-Ar}-C^1$ bond has restricted rotation, leading to broadening and nonequivalence of the signals of aromatic *ortho*- and *meta*-protons and carbons (**Figure 3**, and the **SI**), due to significant contribution of mesomeric form **A8'**. One more evidence for this mesomeric form is a significant downfield shift of C_{para} at 189.5 ppm in ^{13}C NMR (**Experimental Section**, **SI**), revealing a





Figure 3. ^1H NMR spectrum of **A8** in FSO_3H at $0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ (500 MHz, CH_2Cl_2 is added as internal standard).

substantial positive charge delocalization into the *p*-methoxyphenyl ring.

However, more striking spectral behavior is shown by the position of the signal of carbocation center $^+\text{C}^1$, which is very much upfield shifted to 164.5 ppm in ^{13}C NMR (see the [Experimental Section](#) and [SI](#)). A similar signal of other benzyl cations is usually registered in the region of $\sim 182\text{--}270$ ppm ([Figure 4](#)). That means that there is an additional structural possibility for charge delocalization in cation **A8**. Most





Figure 4. ^{13}C NMR chemical shifts of carbocation center of some benzyl cations (^{18,23–27}).

probably, this possibility may come from the bromine atom, resulting in the formation of halonium ion **B8** (see calculated structure in [Figure 2](#)).

Thus, NMR data on the protonation of alkene **1h** in the superacid FSO_3H clearly demonstrate that the formed species **A8** may exist at least in two additional mesomeric forms **A8'** and **B8**. In other words, the structure of protonated form of **1h** may be described as a superposition of these mesomeric forms having different contributions. Once again, nonequivalence and broadness of proton and carbon signals in NMR spectra reflect the complex structure of this protonated species, which is hard to catch.

As it was mentioned above, the formation of oligomers was detected on protonation of styrenes **1** in the superacids $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H}$ and FSO_3H . We carried out preparative reactions and isolated these oligomers obtained from compounds **1b,e,f** in $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H}$ at room temperature for 0.5 h. According to MALDI-MS data (see the [SI](#)), the oligomers are products of cationic polymerization, consisting of up to 14 subunits of starting styrenes **1b,e,f**.

Then, we studied Friedel–Crafts alkylation of arenes with styrenes **1** in $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H}$. [Table 2](#) contains data on these reactions of chloro **1a–e**, bromo **1f–h**, and fluoro **1i–l**

Table 2. Hydroarylation of Styrenes **1** in CF₃SO₃H, and Dehydrohalogenation of **2**


entry	Z/E-ratio for 1	X	R in Ar	Ar'H ^a	Ar' for 2 , 3	2 (yield, %)	3 (yield, %)	D1/D2 for 2 and Z/E for 3
1	1a (83:17)	Cl	H	benzene ^b	Ph	2a (86)	3a (89)	
2	1a (83:17)	Cl	H	toluene ^c	4-MeC ₆ H ₄	2b (91)	3b (72)	37:63
3	1a (83:17)	Cl	H	<i>o</i> -xylene ^c	3,4-Me ₂ C ₆ H ₃	2c (91)	3c (87)	53:47
4	1a (83:17)	Cl	H	<i>m</i> -xylene ^c	2,4-Me ₂ C ₆ H ₃	2d (66)	3d (85)	29:71
5	1a (83:17)	Cl	H	<i>p</i> -xylene ^c	2,5-Me ₂ C ₆ H ₃	2e (89)	3e (79)	67:33
6	1a (83:17)	Cl	H	pseudocumene ^c	2,4,5-Me ₃ C ₆ H ₂	2f (73)	3f (74)	53:47
					2,3,5-Me ₃ C ₆ H ₂	2g (14)	3g (15)	50:50
7	1a (83:17)	Cl	H	1,2-dichlorobenzene ^d	3,4-Cl ₂ C ₆ H ₃	2h (22)	3h (89)	83:17
8	1a (83:17)	Cl	H	anisole ^e	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	2i (70)	3i (87)	42:58
9	1a (83:17)	Cl	H	veratrole ^d	3,4-(MeO) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	2j (43)	3j (86)	40:60
10	1b (83:17)	Cl	3-Me	benzene ^b	Ph	2k (78)	3k (85)	77:23
11	1c (86:14)	Cl	4-Me	benzene ^e	Ph	2b (96)	3b (87)	71:29
12	1c (86:14)	Cl	4-Me	anisole ^e	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	2l (66)	3l (81)	67:33
13	1d (75:25)	Cl	4-Cl	benzene ^f	Ph	2m (91)	3m (85)	75:25
14	1d (75:25)	Cl	4-Cl	anisole ^e	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	2n (81)	3n (85)	63:37
15	1d (75:25)	Cl	4-Cl	1,2-dichlorobenzene ^d	3,4-Cl ₂ C ₆ H ₃	2o (91)	3o (85)	67:33
16	1e (91:9)	Cl	4-MeO	benzene ^d	Ph	2i (89)	3i (94)	83:17
17	1e (91:9)	Cl	4-MeO	anisole ^d	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	2p (89)	3p (91)	
18	1e (91:9)	Cl	4-MeO	veratrole ^d	3,4-(MeO) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	2q (27)	3q (96)	52:48
19	1f (89:11)	Br	H	benzene ^b	Ph	2r (88)	3a (89)	
20	1f (89:11)	Br	H	<i>p</i> -xylene ^c	2,5-Me ₂ C ₆ H ₃	2s (67)	3e (68)	35:65
21	1f (89:11)	Br	H	anisole ^e	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	2t (95)	3i (87)	71:29
22	1f (89:11)	Br	H	veratrole ^c	3,4-(MeO) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	2u (80)	3a (90)	61:39
23	1g (75:25)	Br	4-Cl	benzene ^b	Ph	2v (76)	3m (95)	66:34
24	1g (75:25)	Br	4-Cl	anisole ^b	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	2w (52)	3n (95)	63:37
25	1h (91:9)	Br	4-MeO	benzene ^d	Ph	2t (54)	3i (87)	53:47
26	1h (91:9)	Br	4-MeO	anisole ^e	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	2x (91)	3p (81)	
27	1h (91:9)	Br	4-MeO	veratrole ^d	3,4-(MeO) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	2y (46)	3q (96)	52:48
28	1i (97:3)	F	H	benzene ^b	Ph	2z (78)	3a (90)	
29	1j (97:3)	F	4-Me	benzene ^c	Ph	2za (67)	3b (88)	59:41
30	1k (97:3)	F	4-Cl	benzene ^f	Ph	2zb (92)	3m (92)	56:44
31	1k (97:3)	F	4-Cl	anisole ^f	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	2zc (20)	3n (82)	64:36
32	1l (97:3)	F	4-MeO	benzene ^d	Ph	2zd (90)	3i (93)	33:67
33	1l (97:3)	F	4-MeO	anisole ^d	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	2ze (58)	3p (89)	
34	1l (97:3)	F	4-MeO	veratrole ^e	3,4-(MeO) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	2zf (28)	3q (92)	51:49

^aMolar ratio 1:arene 1:5, for benzene 1:17. ^bRoom temperature, 1 h. ^c−10 °C, 3 h, with CH₂Cl₂ as cosolvent. ^dRoom temperature, 0.5 h. ^e−10 °C, 0.5 h, with CH₂Cl₂ as cosolvent. ^f60 °C, 1 h.


substituted styrenes. The reaction leads to products of hydroarylation of double bond, 1,1-diaryl-2-halogeno-3,3,3-trifluoropropanes *Z*/*E*-2. It should be noted that no reaction is observed in trifluoroacetic acid CF₃CO₂H, which is too weak to protonate such a deactivated carbon–carbon double bond in **1**. Methoxyphenyl-substituted compounds **1e**, **1h**, **1l** were protonated in sulfuric acid; they even interacted with benzene, but gave reaction products in much lower yields, compared to CF₃SO₃H.

Various arenes may be involved in the reaction with alkenes **1** in CF₃SO₃H: benzene, toluene (methylbenzene), isomeric xylenes (dimethylbenzenes), pseudocumene (1,2,4-trimethylbenzene), anisole (methoxybenzene), veratrole (1,2-dimethoxybenzene), and such a deactivated arene as 1,2-dichlorobenzene (Table 2). This reaction affords the target CF₃-propanes **2** in good yields. The reaction was very regioselective relatively to aromatic substrates. Thus, the carbocation formed attacked only the *para*-position of the substituted arenes (see reactions with toluene, *o*-xylene, anisole, and veratrole in Table 2).

The stereochemical result of the reaction is very important. This data gives us the information about participation of a halogen X in stabilization of formed benzylic carbocations. In all cases, the formation of inseparable mixtures of two diastereomers of **2** (D1/D2) in various ratios was observed. Moreover the ratio of D1 and D2 differs from the *Z*/*E*-ratio of starting alkenes **1**. These diastereomers **2** have different (*R*)-, (*S*)- configurations of atoms C¹ and C²: D1 (*1RS*, *2RS*) and D2 (*1SR*, *2RS*). In all ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra, two sets of signals of each diastereomer **2** were detected (see the SI). The exact structure of these diastereomers cannot be determined using NMR. However, we found a simple way to resolve this problem. We carried out dehydrohalogenation of compounds **2** to give alkenes **3**, as an inseparable mixture of *Z*/*E*-isomers, by treatment with a base (KOH or *t*-BuOK, Table 2). Alkenes **3** are structural analogues of Panomifen, which is an antitumor drug (Figure 1). Therefore, synthesis of alkenes **3** is of significant practical value.²⁸

The *Z/E*-ratios for the alkenes **3** was the same as the D1/D2 ratios for their precursors **2** in the cases of chloro- and bromo-substituted derivatives. On the basis of these stereochemical data, one can conclude that compounds **3** are formed from **2** in an E2 elimination way. Diastereomers D1 (*1RS, 2RS*) gave *Z*-alkenes **3**, and diastereomers D2 (*1SR, 2RS*) yielded *E*-isomers **3**, as it is presented in Scheme 2 with Newman projections. The

Scheme 2. Newman Projections of 2 and Stereochemistry of Compounds 2 and 3




configuration of the *Z*- and *E*-isomers of **3** can be figured out by means of ^1H NMR. The signal of the vinyl proton in *E*-isomers **3** is low field shifted compared to the same signal in the *Z*-isomer.¹⁸ On the basis of these spectral regularities, we could elucidate the stereochemistry of *Z/E*-alkenes **3** and, consequently, resolve the structures of diastereomers **2**, D1 and D2.

Some moments should be pointed out concerning the elimination of HX from compounds **2**. Dehydrobromination proceeded smoothly with KOH in ethanol even at room temperature. In the case of chloro derivatives **2a–q**, it is necessary to use KOH under reflux in ethanol to get alkenes **3a–q** (see the Experimental Section). Dehydrofluorination needs harder conditions (KOH, reflux, ethanol, 20 h). The elimination of HF in these conditions is not stereoselective and gives alkene **3** with a *Z/E*-ratio of 1:1, in spite of the ratio of diastereomers **2** being initially not 1:1 (Table 3). This reveals that, due to the strong acceptor character of the CF_3 and F groups, elimination of HF from compounds **2**, most probably, proceeds in an E1cb way, rather than an E2 one (contrary to substances **2** with $\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}$). It was found that dehydrofluorination of **2z–zf** could be done stereoselectively with *t*-BuOK in THF, yielding the alkenes **3** with *Z/E*-ratios corresponding to that of D1/D2 for **2z–zf**.

We observed also some additional processes during this type of Friedel–Crafts alkylation of arenes. In some cases, the reaction can be complicated by exchange of aryl groups. Thus, the reaction of **1a** with such a strong π -nucleophile as *p*-xylene gave at room temperature, apart from target product **2e**, the compound **2zg** (entries 2 and 3, Table 3). The formation of **2zg** can be explained by protonation of the aryl group or protonation of the $\text{C}^1\text{–C}_{\text{Ar}}$ bond under superacidic conditions,

Table 3. Exchange of Aryl Group in Reaction of 1a with *p*-Xylene




entry	reaction conditions			reaction products	
	ratio of 1a: <i>p</i> -xylene	temp, °C	time, h	ratio of 2e:2zg	whole yield of 2e:2zg, %
1	1:1	rt	0.5	quantitative formation of oligomers	
2	1:5	rt	0.5	9:1	90
3	1:5	rt	5	1:1.4	37
4	1:5	−10	0.25	1:0	60
5	1:5	−10	3	1:0	89

followed by elimination of an arene molecule and formation of the corresponding benzyl cation reacting with an excess of *p*-xylene. There are two crucial points for such an aryl exchange. These are reaction temperature and ratio of starting styrene **1** and arene. When the ratio of 1:arene is 1:1, the oligomers are formed only, due to concurrent reaction of cationic polymerization of styrenes **1** (Table 3, entry 1, and see above MALDI-MS data on oligomerization). In an excess of *p*-xylene (ratios of 1:arene 1:5), the oligomerization of **1** is completely suppressed (Table 3, entry 2). Therefore, to achieve hydroarylation of alkenes **1**, we used an excess of arenes as it is indicated in Table 2. Lowering the reaction temperature also allows avoiding aryl group exchange (Table 3, entries 4 and 5). Reactions of alkenes **1** with good π -nucleophiles (xylenes, anisol, veratrole) were mainly conducted at $-10\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ to avoid formation of byproducts (see Table 2). However, *p*-methoxyphenyl-substituted alkene **1h** in reaction with *p*-xylene gave only exchange product **2zh** even at $-15\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ (Scheme 3), due to more efficient substitution of the *p*-methoxyphenyl fragment. Recently, we described a similar aryl exchange group process and its suppression for hydroarylation of cinnamides in superacids.²⁹


Polymethyl-substituted arenes, mesitylene and durene, did not participate in the reaction with styrenes **1** due to higher steric demand of carbocations formed by protonation of **1**. At room temperature, styrenes **1** under the reaction conditions in the presence of mesitylene and durene formed only oligomers. At lower temperature ($-15\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$), the generated cation **A8** from **1h** did not react with mesitylene, but was transformed into alcohol **4** (Scheme 4) after quench of the reaction mixture with water (see the Experimental Section).

Summarizing this part of the study (Tables 2 and 3; Schemes 3 and 4), some features of this reaction should be pointed out. The reaction is very sensitive to the halogen atom X on the $\text{C}=\text{C}$ bond and to substituents on the arene ring in compounds **1**. All bromo-substituted ($\text{X} = \text{Br}$) compounds **1f–h** are easily protonated in $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H}$ at $-10\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ and smoothly react with arenes (entries 19–27, Table 2). Substrates **1i–l**, having stronger electron acceptors $\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{F}$, need room temperature or $60\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ to react with arenes (entries 1–18, 28–34, Table 2). Apart from that, alkenes **1d** and **1k**, with $\text{X} = \text{Cl}$ and F, respectively, bearing an acceptor *para*-chlorophenyl ring, are hardly protonated in $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H}$. Compounds **1d** and **1k** react with benzene at a higher temperature of $60\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ (entries 13, 30, 31, and Table 2). Other alkenes **1** containing electron-donating substituents (Me, OMe) on the aryl ring react

Scheme 3. Aryl Exchange in the Reaction of 1h with *p*-Xylene and Molecular Structures of 2zh^a

^aEllipsoid contours of probability levels are 50%.


Scheme 4. Transformation of 1h into Alcohol 4



smoothly at $-10\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ or room temperature (Table 2). Also, it should be mentioned that the $\text{C}^2\text{-X}$ ($\text{X} = \text{F}, \text{Cl}, \text{Br}$) bond in compounds **2** is stable under superacidic reaction conditions, due to the electron-withdrawing influence of the neighboring CF_3 group, despite many examples of cleavage of this kind of bond in superacids.²¹

In many cases, the hydroarylation of double bond in **1** goes very stereoselectively, leading mainly to preferable formation of one of the diastereomers D1 or D2 (see D1/D2 ratios in Table 2). The ratio of D1/D2, most probably, strongly depends on spatial factors. Despite the absence of high stereoselectivity in this reaction, the data on the diastereomeric ratios for compounds **2** shed light on the reactivity of cations **A** and **B** (Scheme 1). Thus, the stereoselectivity is reduced for fluoroalkenes **1i–l** ($\text{X} = \text{F}$). Starting from alkenes **1j–l** having a *Z/E*-ratio of 97:3, the reaction led to compounds **2z–zf** with D1/D2 ratios from 33:67 to 64:36 (Table 2). In this case, a loss of stereoselectivity reveals that a cyclic fluoronium ion **B** cannot be formed, and the stereocontrol could come only from a cation **A**. For bromo- ($\text{X} = \text{Br}$) and chloro- ($\text{X} = \text{Cl}$) alkenes **1**, the formation of cyclic ions **B** is more probable.

We also tested activation of styrenes **5a,b** in $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H}$ bearing at the double bond fluorine and a CF_2Cl group and the subsequent reaction with arenes (Scheme 5). Activated by donating methoxyphenyl group, compound **5a** is easily protonated at $0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ and gives the corresponding hydroarylation products **6a,b** in reaction with benzene and anisole, respectively. The key point is a stability of the C-Cl bond in compounds **5a,b** under the superacidic conditions at $0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$.

Scheme 5. Reactions of Styrenes *E/Z*-5a,b with Arenes

On the other hand, the deactivated $\text{C}=\text{C}$ bond in *para*-chloro-substituted styrene **5b** is not protonated in $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H}$ even at an elevated temperature of $60\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. This styrene reacts only in a way of Friedel–Crafts reaction at the C-Cl bond with arenes, followed by hydrolysis of two fluorine atoms under quench with water. Fluorinated chalcones **7a,b** are formed as a result of this reaction sequence. Reaction is highly stereoselective, leading predominantly to the *Z*-isomer of **7**. *E/Z*-stereochemistry of compounds **7a,b** was determined by $^1\text{H-}^{19}\text{F}$ NOESY correlation between the vinyl proton and *ortho*-protons on the 3-aryl ring (see the SI). Such 2-fluoroalkenes are hardly available compounds. They are in great interest due to the biological activity of chalcone derivatives, and there are just a few published methods for their synthesis.³⁰

CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that 2-halogeno-2- CF_3 styrenes in Friedel–Crafts reaction with arenes in $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H}$ gave rise to 1,1-diaryl-2-halogeno-3,3,3-trifluoropropanes. This is a simple and efficient synthetic method for hydroarylation of the double bond of such CF_3 -styrenes. The intermediate cationic species of this reaction were studied by means of NMR and DFT calculations. Dehydrohalogenation of 1,1-diaryl-2-halogeno-3,3,3-trifluoropropanes under mild conditions resulted in the formation of 1,1-diaryl-3,3,3-trifluoropropenes.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. NMR spectra were recorded on a spectrometer (at 500 MHz, at 125 MHz, and at 470 MHz for ^1H , ^{13}C , and ^{19}F NMR spectra, respectively) and on a spectrometer (at 400, 100, and 376 MHz for ^1H , ^{19}F , and ^{13}C NMR spectra, respectively) using CDCl_3 as a solvent or FSO_3H and $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H}$ to generate protonated forms of styrenes **1** with CH_2Cl_2 as internal standard. The ^1H and ^{13}C spectra were calibrated using the residual signals of nondeuterated solvent as internal reference. The ^{19}F spectra are referenced through the solvent lock (2H) signal according to the IUPAC recommended secondary referencing method and the manufacturer's protocols. ^{19}F NMR shifts are given relative to the signal of CFCl_3 (δ 0.0 ppm). 2D NOESY and HSQC spectra were taken. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were carried out at a MALDI-MS spectrometer with a 9.4 T superconducting magnet equipped with a UV laser (Nd) in the positive ion mode or at an instrument for HRMS-ESI-QTOF. Chromato-mass-spectrometry data were obtained at a system with an HP-SMS capillary column (30 m \times 0.25 mm), with the thickness of the stationary phase being 0.25 μm . Column chromatography was performed on silica gel 40–63 μm . Purity of compounds was monitored by TLC.

X-ray Analysis. Suitable crystals were selected and studied on the diffractometer for X-ray analysis. The crystals were kept at 100(2) K during data collection. Using Olex2,³¹ the structure was solved with the SHELXS³² structure solution program using Direct Methods and refined with the SHELXL refinement package using Least-Squares minimization. CCDC 1452574 (**2zh**) contains the supplementary crystallographic data, which can be obtained free of charge at www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html or from the Cambridge Crs-

tallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: (internat.) +44-1223-336-033; E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.

DFT Calculations. All computations were carried out at the DFT/HF hybrid level of theory using Becke's three-parameter hybrid exchange functional in combination with the gradient-corrected correlation functional of Lee, Yang, and Parr (B3LYP) by using the GAUSSIAN 2009 program packages.³³ The geometries optimization was performed using the 6-311+G(2d,2p) basis set (standard 6-311 basis set added with polarization (d, p) and diffuse functions). Optimizations were performed on all degrees of freedom, and solvent-phase optimized structures were verified as true minima with no imaginary frequencies. The Hessian matrix was calculated analytically for the optimized structures in order to prove the location of correct minima and to estimate the thermodynamic parameters. Solvent-phase calculations used the Polarizable Continuum Model (PCM).

Starting 1-Aryl-2-halogeno-3,3,3-trifluoropropenes 1a–l and 5a,b. 1a–l and 5a,b were synthesized and characterized previously.^{2a–c}

General Procedure for Reaction of Styrenes 1a–l, 5a,b with Arenes in the Superacid CF₃SO₃H. Synthesis of Compounds 2a–zf, 4, 6a,b, and 7a,b. Styrene 1 or 5 (0.3 mmol) was added dropwise to the stirred solution of arene (17 equiv of benzene, or 5 equiv of other arenes) in 1 mL of CF₃SO₃H. The mixture was stirred at temperature and time as indicated in Table 2 (in the case of reaction temperature –10 °C, 0.5 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added as cosolvent to increase the solubility of arene). Then, the reaction mixture was quenched with 100 mL of water. The aqueous layer was extracted with CHCl₃ (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with water (1 × 50 mL), saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ (1 × 50 mL), and with water again (2 × 50 mL). The extract was dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography with gradient elution with petroleum ether (40–70) to EtOAc. The yields and diastereomeric ratios of compounds 2 are given in Table 2.

Synthesis of Alkenes 3a–q. General Procedure for Dehydrohalogenation of Compounds 2a–y in KOH–EtOH. Compound 2 (0.1 mmol) was added to a solution of KOH (1 mmol) in EtOH (2 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt or with reflux for 15 or 20 h as indicated in Table 2. Then, it was diluted with 100 mL of Et₂O, washed with water (3 × 50 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography with gradient elution with petroleum ether (40–70) to EtOAc. The yields and Z/E-ratios for compounds 3 are given in Table 2.

General Procedure for Dehydrohalogenation of Compounds 2z–zf in t-BuOK–THF. tert-BuOK (1.1 mmol) was added to solution of compound 2 (0.1 mmol) in THF (1 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt (2zb) or with reflux (2z, 2za, 2zc–2zf) for 2 days. Then, it was diluted with 100 mL of CH₂Cl₂, washed with water (3 × 50 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography with gradient elution with petroleum ether (40–70) to EtOAc. The yields and Z/E-ratios for compounds 3 are given in Table 2.

Compounds 3a–p were obtained and characterized by ourselves previously,¹⁸ except for 3c, 3f, 3q (see their properties below).

2-Chloro-1,1,1-trifluoro-3,3-diphenylpropane (2a). Yield 73 mg, 86%. Colorless oil. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.24–7.34 (m, 10H), 4.95 (dq, J = 8.2, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 53.09, 59.70 (q, J = 29.9 Hz, CHCF₃), 123.99 (q, J = 278.9 Hz, CF₃), 127.38, 127.42, 127.88, 128.57, 128.67, 128.77, 139.46, 139.84. ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –70.48 (d, J = 6.7 Hz); MS (GC–MS, EI), m/z, (I_{rel.}, %) 284 [M]⁺ (7), 167 (100), 152 (25); HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for C₁₃H₁₃ClF₃ [M + H]⁺ 285.0652, found 285.0654.

2-Chloro-1,1,1-trifluoro-3-(4-methylphenyl)-3-phenylpropane (2b). Obtained as a mixture of diastereomers D1(1RS/2RS) and D2(1SR/2RS). Yield 81 mg, 91%. Colorless oil. 2b-D1(1RS/2RS): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31–7.35 (m, 4H), 7.20–7.25 (m, 3H), 7.11–7.16 (m, 2H), 4.95 (dq, J = 8.7, 6.4 Hz, 1H, CHCl), 4.49 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, CHPh), 2.31 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ

20.97 (CH₃), 52.76 (CHPh), 59.77 (q, J = 29.9 Hz, CCl), 124.01 (q, J = 278.4 Hz, CF₃), D1+D2: 127.28, 127.33, 127.72, 127.82, 128.52, 128.54, 128.59, 128.75, 129.28, 129.45, 136.50, 136.86, 137.08, 137.12, 139.72, 140.09. ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –70.42 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, CF₃). 2b-D2(1SR/2RS): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31–7.35 (m, 4H), 7.20–7.25 (m, 3H), 7.11–7.16 (m, 2H), 4.94 (dq, J = 8.7, 6.4 Hz, 1H, CHCl), 4.50 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, CHPh), 2.33 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (selected signals) 21.0 (CH₃), 52.7 (CHPh), 59.8 (q, CCl, J = 29.9 Hz), 124.0 (q, J = 278.4 Hz, CF₃). ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –70.47 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, CF₃). MS (GC–MS, EI), m/z, (I_{rel.}, %) D1+D2: 298 [M]⁺ (10), 181 (100), 165 (29), 89 (6). HRMS (MALDI) (D1+D2) m/z calcd for C₁₆H₁₅ClF₃ [M + H]⁺ 299.0809, found 299.0811.

2-Chloro-1,1,1-trifluoro-3-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)-3-phenylpropane (2c). Obtained as a mixture of diastereomers D1(1RS/2RS) and D2(1SR/2RS). Yield 85 mg, 91%. Colorless oil. 2c-D1(1RS/2RS): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.23–7.35 (m, 5H), 7.08–7.11 (m, 3H), 4.92–4.98 (m, 1H, CHCF₃), 4.46 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H, CHPh), 2.24 (s, 3H), 2.22 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (selected signals) 19.30, 19.85, 52.82 (CHPh), 59.83 (q, J = 29.7 Hz, CHCF₃), 124.04 (q, J = 278.8 Hz, CF₃); D1+D2: 125.1, 125.6, 127.2, 127.3, 127.8, 128.5, 128.6, 128.7, 129.1, 129.8, 129.9, 129.9, 135.7, 135.8, 136.8, 136.9, 137.0, 137.3, 139.8, 140.2. ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –70.41 (d, J = 6.4 Hz). 2c-D2(1SR/2RS): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.23–7.35 (m, 5H), 7.08–7.11 (m, 3H), 4.92–4.98 (m, 1H, CHCF₃), 4.44 (d, 1H, J = 8.8 Hz, CHPh), 2.26 (s, 3H), 2.24 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (selected signals) 19.3 (CH₃), 19.9 (CH₃), 53.0 (CHPh), 59.7 (q, J = 29.7 Hz, CHCF₃), 124.0 (q, J = 278.8 Hz, CF₃). ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –70.39 (d, J = 6.4 Hz). HRMS (MALDI) (D1+D2) m/z calcd for C₁₇H₁₇ClF₃ [M + H]⁺ 313.0966, found 313.0968.

2-Chloro-1,1,1-trifluoro-3-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)-3-phenylpropane (2d). Obtained as a mixture of diastereomers D1(1RS/2RS) and D2(1SR/2RS). Yield 62 mg, 66%. Colorless oil. 2d-D1(1RS/2RS): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31–7.35 (m, 4H), 6.88–6.96 (m, 4H), 4.92–4.98 (m, 1H, CHCF₃), 4.45 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H, CHPh), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.29 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (selected signals) 21.33, 52.94 (CHAr₂), 59.83 (q, J = 29.9 Hz, CHCF₃), 124.05 (q, J = 278.8 Hz, CF₃); D1+D2: 125.61, 126.2, 127.3, 127.3, 127.9, 128.5, 128.6, 128.7, 129.0, 129.1, 138.0, 138.1, 138.2, 139.5, 139.7, 139.7, 140.0. ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –70.58 (d, J = 6.9 Hz). 2d-D2(1SR/2RS): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31–7.35 (m, 4H), 6.88–6.96 (m, 4H), 4.92–4.98 (m, 1H, CHCF₃), 4.42 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H, CHPh), 2.32 s (3H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (selected signals) 21.4 (CH₃), 53.4 (CHAr₂), 59.7 (q, J = 29.9 Hz, CHCF₃), 124.0 (q, J = 278.8 Hz, CF₃). ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –70.34 (d, J = 6.9 Hz). HRMS (MALDI) (D1+D2) m/z calcd for C₁₇H₁₇ClF₃ [M + H]⁺ 313.0966, found 313.0960.

2-Chloro-1,1,1-trifluoro-3-(2,5-dimethylphenyl)-3-phenylpropane (2e). Obtained as a mixture of diastereomers D1(1RS/2RS) and D2(1SR/2RS). Yield 83 mg, 89%. Colorless oil. 2e-D1(1RS/2RS): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.22–7.33 (m, 5H), 6.96–7.05 (m, 2H), 4.96 (dq, J = 9.6, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (selected signals) 19.4 (CH₃), 21.3 (CH₃), 49.01 (CHAr₂), 59.9 (q, J = 29.9 Hz, CHCF₃), 124.1 (q, J = 278.8 Hz, CF₃); D1+D2: 126.7, 127.2, 127.2, 127.4, 127.8, 127.9, 128.4, 128.6, 128.1, 130.8, 130.9, 132.4, 133.29, 135.6, 135.7, 137.9, 138.3, 138.5, 139.1. ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –69.92 (d, J = 5.8 Hz). 2e-D2(1SR/2RS): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.22–7.33 (m, 5H), 6.96–7.05 (m, 2H), 4.97 (dq, J = 9.6, 5.8 Hz, 1H, CHCF₃), 4.78 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H, CHPh), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.34 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (selected signals) 19.4 (CH₃), 21.2 (CH₃), 47.7 (CHAr₂), 60.1 (q, J = 29.9 Hz, CHCF₃), 124.2 (q, J = 278.8 Hz, CF₃). ¹⁹F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –70.95 (d, J = 5.8 Hz). HRMS (MALDI) (D1+D2) m/z calcd for C₁₇H₁₇ClF₃ [M + H]⁺ 313.0966, found 313.0965.

2-Chloro-1,1,1-trifluoro-3-(2,4,5-trimethylphenyl)-3-phenylpropane (2f). Obtained in a mixture with compounds 2g in a 2f:2g ratio of 5.2:1 in a whole yield of 85 mg, 87%. Mixture of diastereomers D1(1RS/2RS) and D2(1SR/2RS). Colorless oil. 2f-D1(1RS/2RS): ¹H

CDCl_3) δ -119.41 (d, J = 35.7 Hz, CF). MS (GC-MS, EI), m/z , (I_{rel} , %) 260.1 [$\text{M}]^+$ (70), 225.2 (38), 205.2 (34), 120.2 (19), 105.1 (100), 77.2 (82). **E-7a**: ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 6.87 d (1H, CHF, J = 35.7 Hz). ^{19}F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl_3) δ -120.28 d (CF, J = 35.7 Hz). HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{11}\text{ClFO}$ [$\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ 261.0477, found 261.0481.

(*Z*)-3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-fluoro-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one (**7b**). Yield 68 mg, 65%. Colorless solid mp 82–84 °C. **Z-7b**: ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.96 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.64 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.98 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.83 (d, $J_{\text{H-F}}$ = 36.6 Hz, 1H, C=CH), 3.90 (s, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 55.5 (OCH₃), 113.8, 117.2 (d, J = 5.4 Hz), 128.5 (d, J = 1.8 Hz), 129.1, 130.07 (d, J = 4.0 Hz), 131.7 (d, J = 8.5 Hz), 132.0 (d, J = 4.0 Hz), 135.6 (d, J = 3.6 Hz), 155.3 (d, J = 274.3 Hz, CF), 163.8, 185.7 (d, J = 29 Hz). ^{19}F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl_3) δ -118.09 (d, J = 36.6 Hz, CF). MS (GC-MS, EI), m/z , (I_{rel} , %) 290.1 [$\text{M}]^+$ (39), 270.1 (29), 135.1 (100), 107.1 (11), 77.2 (24). HRMS (MALDI) m/z calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{13}\text{ClFO}_2$ [$\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ 291.0583, found 291.0580.

Cation A8. ^1H NMR (500 MHz, FSO_3H) δ 8.44 (broad m, 1H_{ortho}), 8.29 (broad m, 1H_{ortho}), 8.04 d (1H, C¹H, J = 10.9 Hz), 7.47 (broad s, 2H_{meta}), 5.81 (dq, 1H, C²H, J = 10.9 Hz, J = 5.3 Hz), 4.59 (s, 3H, OMe).

^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, FSO_3H) δ 38.1 q (C², 2J = 37 Hz), 62.6 (OMe), ~120 (broad signal, C_{meta}), 122.7 (q, CF₃, J = 276 Hz), ~127 (broad signal, C_{ortho}), 134.8 (C_{ipso}), 164.5 (C¹), 189.2 (C_{para}). ^{19}F NMR (470 MHz, FSO_3H) δ -73.28 (d, CF₃, J = 5.3 Hz).

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b00419.

Crystallographic data for **2zh** (CIF)

^1H , ^{13}C , and ^{19}F NMR spectra of compounds, details of DFT calculations, and X-ray data (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: nen@acylium.chem.msu.ru (V.G.N.).

*E-mail: aleksvasil@mail.ru, a.vasilyev@spbu.ru (A.V.V.).

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Saint Petersburg State University, Saint Petersburg, Russia (grant no. 12.38.195.2014) (superacidic activation, substance isolation and identification), and the Russian Science Foundation (grant no. 14-13-00083 for V.G.N. (synthesis of starting compounds, part of experiments and discussion)). Spectral studies were performed at the Center for Magnetic Resonance, Center for Chemical Analysis and Materials Research, and Research Center for X-ray Diffraction Studies of Saint Petersburg State University, Saint Petersburg, Russia.

■ REFERENCES

(1) See books: (a) Begue, J. P.; Bonnet-Delpon, D. *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry of Fluorine*; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2008. (b) Tressaud, A.; Haufe, G., Eds. *Fluorine and Health: Molecular Imaging, Biomedical Materials and Pharmaceuticals*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2008. (c) Petrov, V. A., Ed. *Fluorinated Heterocyclic Compounds: Synthesis, Chemistry, and Applications*; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2009. (d) Nenajdenko, V. G., Ed. *Fluorine in Heterocyclic Chemistry*; Springer: Berlin, 2014. (e) Prakash, R. V. *Organofluorine Compounds in Biology and Medicine*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2015. (f) Nenajdenko, V. G.; Muzalevskiy, V. M.; Shastin, A. V. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 973–1050.

(2) (a) Korotchenko, V. N.; Shastin, A. V.; Nenajdenko, V. G.; Balenkova, E. S. *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 7519–7527. (b) Nenajdenko, V. G.; Varseev, G. N.; Shastin, A. V.; Balenkova, E. S. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2005**, *126*, 907–917. (c) Goldberg, A. A.; Muzalevskiy, V. M.; Shastin, A. V.; Balenkova, E. S.; Nenajdenko, V. G. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2010**, *131*, 384–388. (d) Prakash, G. K. S.; Krishnan, H. S.; Jog, P. V.; Iyer, A. P.; Olah, G. A. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 1146–1149. (e) Liang, T.; Neumann, C. N.; Ritter, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 8214–8264. (f) Mikhaylov, D. Yu.; Budnikova, Yu. H. *Russ. Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *82*, 835–864. (g) Zhu, N.; Wang, F.; Chen, P.; Ye, J.; Liu, G. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 3580–3583.

(3) (a) Chelucci, G. *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112*, 1344–1462. (b) Amii, H.; Uneyama, K. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109*, 2119–2183. (c) Ichikawa, J.; Iwai, Y.; Nadano, R.; Mori, T.; Ikeda, M. *Chem.—Asian J.* **2008**, *3*, 393–406. (d) Fuchibe, K.; Takahashi, M.; Ichikawa, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 12059–12062.

(4) Kathiravan, S.; Nicholls, I. A. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 1874–1877.

(5) Ikeda, N.; Konno, T. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2015**, *173*, 69–76.

(6) (a) Konno, T.; Tanaka, T.; Miyabe, T.; Morigaki, A.; Ishihara, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, *49*, 2106–2110. (b) Li, Y.; Tu, D.-H.; Gu, Y.-J.; Wang, B.; Wang, Y.-Y.; Liu, Z.-T.; Liu, Z.-W.; Lu, J. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *2015*, 4340–4343.

(7) Marrec, O.; Borrini, J.; Billard, T.; Langlois, B. *Synlett* **2009**, *2009*, 1241–1244.

(8) Morigaki, A.; Tsukade, K.; Arimitsu, S.; Konno, T.; Kubota, T. *Tetrahedron* **2013**, *69*, 1521–1525.

(9) Shinohara, N.; Haga, J.; Yamazaki, T.; Kitazume, T.; Nakamura, S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 4363–4374.

(10) Sanz-Marco, A.; Garcia-Ortiz, A.; Blay, G.; Pedro, J. R. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 2275–2278.

(11) (a) Davies, A. T.; Taylor, J. E.; Douglas, J.; Collett, C. J.; Morrill, L. G.; Fallan, A.; Slawin, M. Z.; Churchill, G.; Smith, A. D. *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 9243–9257. (b) Omote, M.; Tanaka, M.; Tanaka, M.; Ikeda, A.; Tarui, A.; Sato, K.; Ando, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 6196–6201.

(12) (a) Sosnovskikh, V. Y.; Barabanov, M. A.; Usachev, B. I. *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 8297–8304. (b) Powell, A.; Al Nakeeb, M.; Wilkinson, B.; Micklefield, J. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 2683–2685. (c) Ruano, J. L. G.; de Haro, T.; Singh, S.; Cid, M. B. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 1150–1153. (d) Blay, G.; Fernández, I.; Muñoz, C.; Pedro, J. R.; Vila, C. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 9117–9122. (e) Wang, W.; Lian, X.; Chen, D.; Liu, X.; Lin, L.; Feng, X. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 7821–7823.

(13) (a) Narita, T. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **1999**, *24*, 1095–1148. (b) Patil, Y.; Hori, H.; Tanaka, M.; Sakamoto, T.; Ameduri, B. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 6662–6664.

(14) (a) Prakash, G. K. S.; Paknia, F.; Vaghoo, H.; Rasul, G.; Mathew, T.; Olah, G. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 2219–2226. (b) Prakash, G. K. S.; Paknia, F.; Narayanan, A.; Rasul, G.; Mathew, T.; Olah, G. A. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2012**, *143*, 292–302.

(15) (a) Carey, F. A.; Sundberg, R. A. *Advanced Organic Chemistry*, 5th ed.; Springer: New York, 2007. (b) Smith, M. B.; March, J. *March's Advanced Organic Chemistry: Reactions, Mechanisms, and Structure*, 6th ed.; Wiley: New York, 2007. (c) Bruice, P. Y. *Organic Chemistry*, 6th ed.; Prentice Hall: Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2010. (d) Bruckner, R. *Organic Mechanisms: Reactions, Stereochemistry and Synthesis*; Springer: Berlin, 2010. (e) Gallego, M. G.; Sierra, M. A. *Organic Reaction Mechanisms*; Springer: New York, 2004.

(16) Struble, M. D.; Scerba, M. T.; Siegler, M.; Lectka, T. *Science* **2013**, *340*, 57–60.

(17) Sandzhieva, M. A.; Ryabukhin, D. S.; Muzalevskiy, V. M.; Grinenko, E. V.; Nenajdenko, V. G.; Vasilyev, A. V. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2016**, *57*, 1129–1132.

(18) (a) Alkhafaji, H. M. H.; Ryabukhin, D. S.; Muzalevskiy, V. M.; Vasilyev, A. V.; Fukin, G. K.; Shastin, A. V.; Nenajdenko, V. G. *Eur. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *2013*, 1132–1143. (b) Alkhafaji, H. M. H.; Ryabukhin, D. S.; Muzalevskiy, V. M.; Osetrova, L. V.; Vasilyev, A. V.; Nenajdenko, V. G. *Russ. J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *49*, 327–341.

- (19) (a) Iakovenko, R. O.; Kazakova, A. N.; Muzalevskiy, V. M.; Ivanov, A.; Boyarskaya, I. A.; Chicca, A.; Petrucci, V.; Gertsch, J.; Krasavin, M.; Starova, G. L.; Zolotarev, A. A.; Avdontceva, M. S.; Nenajdenko, V. G.; Vasilyev, A. V. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2015**, *13*, 8827–8842. (b) Yakovenko, R. O.; Muzalevskii, V. M.; Nenajdenko, V. G.; Vasilyev, A. V. *Russ. J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *51*, 436–438.
- (20) (a) Kazakova, A. N.; Iakovenko, R. O.; Muzalevskiy, V. M.; Boyarskaya, I. A.; Avdontceva, M. S.; Starova, G. L.; Vasilyev, A. V.; Nenajdenko, V. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2014**, *55*, 6851–6855. (b) Kazakova, A. N.; Iakovenko, R. O.; Boyarskaya, I. A.; Nenajdenko, V. G.; Vasilyev, A. V. *J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *80*, 9506–9517.
- (21) Olah, G. A.; Prakash, G. K. S.; Molnar, A.; Sommer, J. *Superacid Chemistry*; Wiley: New York, 2009.
- (22) (a) Chattaraj, P. K.; Giri, S.; Duley, S. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, PR43–PR75. (b) Nenajdenko, V. G.; Moiseev, A. M.; Balenkova, E. S. *Russ. Chem. Bull.* **2004**, *53*, 2241–2265. (c) Nenajdenko, V. G.; Korotchenko, V. N.; Shastin, A. V.; Tyurin, D. A.; Balenkova, E. S. *Russ. J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *40*, 1750–1758.
- (23) (a) Koltunov, K.; Yu; Shakirov, M. M.; Repinskaya, I. B.; Koptug, V. A. *Zh. Org. Khim.* **1991**, *27*, 2622–2623. (b) Koltunov, K.; Yu; Repinskaya, I. B. *Zh. Org. Khim.* **1993**, *30*, 90–93.
- (24) Walspurger, S.; Vasilyev, A. V.; Sommer, J.; Pale, P. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 3559–3564.
- (25) Rendy, R.; Zhang, Y.; McElrea, A.; Gomez, A.; Klumpp, D. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 2340–2347.
- (26) Muhlthau, F.; Stadler, D.; Goepfert, A.; Olah, G.; Prakash, G. K. S.; Bach, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 9668–9675.
- (27) Olah, G.; Spear, R. J.; Forsyth, D. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1977**, *99*, 2615–2621.
- (28) Liu, X.; Shimizu, M.; Hiyama, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 879–882.
- (29) Zakusilo, D. N.; Ryabukhin, D. S.; Boyarskaya, I. A.; Yuzikhin, O. S.; Vasilyev, A. V. *Tetrahedron* **2015**, *71*, 102–108.
- (30) (a) Yarmolenko, S. N.; Fialkov, Yu. A.; Yagupolskiy, L. M. *Zh. Org. Khim.* **1989**, *25*, 1955–1962. (b) Huang, Z.-Z.; Wang, L. *Synlett* **2002**, 1281–1282. (c) Hata, H.; Kobayashi, T.; Amii, H.; Uneyama, K.; Welch, J. T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 6099–6102.
- (31) Dolomanov, O. V.; Bourhis, L. J.; Gildea, R. J.; Howard, J. A. K.; Puschmann, H. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2009**, *42*, 339–341.
- (32) SHELXS: Sheldrick, G. M. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr.* **2008**, *A64*, 112–122.
- (33) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Keith, T.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, O.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. *Gaussian 09*, Revision C.01; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2010.